# ICRP & IAEA Actions on Radiation Protection in CT

#### Madan M. Rehani, PhD

Secretary, ICRP Committee 3 International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria





# 1997: Review of situation in ICRP

- There were no cases of skin injuries from CT
- There was no momentum on cancer risk estimates from CT scans
- Manufacturers not really concerned about patient doses as hardly customers asked for it
- Most emphasis on faster and faster CT scanners

# • 1999- ICRP sets up a Task Group on Patient doses in CT





# Task Group

- M.M. Rehani (Chairman)
- Members:
  - G. Bongartz (Switzerland); S.J. Golding (UK);
    L. Gordon (Sweden); W. Kalender (Germany);
    T. Murakami (Japan); P. Shrimpton (UK)
- Corresponding members:
  - R. Albrecht (USA) and K.Wei (China)



# ICRP Publication 87 (2001)

#### - Editorial in Br Med J in March 2000

BMJ 2000;320:593-594 ( 4 March )

Editorials Rehani & Berry

Radiation doses in computed tomography

The increasing doses of radiation need to be controlled

#### Reactions-LISA



#### Diagnostic Imaging Online April 13, 2000



#### **CT radiation dose questions draw international attention**

Radiation dosage from CT scans could become a matter of debate if international calls for reduced radiation levels move forward.

Writing last month in the British Medical Journal, Dr. Madan Rehani, chairperson of an international task force on safer dosage standards for CT scans, made the case for a closer look at CT radiation levels.

"The increasing doses of radiation need to be controlled worldwide," he said.

The task force was established by the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). The organization meets in Zurich this month to discuss safer dosage recommendations.

While the ICRP believes that there is cause for concern about high radiation dosage in CT scans, some physicians claim that there is no basis for alarm.

"The FDA approves a technology only after due research. Radiation is used discerningly in our country. America is one of the most conscious medical communities when it comes to radiation safety, and radiation is used wisely by physicians only after weighing cost and benefit, keeping in mind safety of the patients," said Dr. Jonathan Goldin, an assistant professor of radiology at the University of California, Los Angeles, who studies



#### Manufacturer orientation in ICRP 87



Table 5 Possibilities for patient dose reduction with CT				
Measures for the user	[Measures for the manufacturer]			
Checking the indication and limiting the scanned volume	Increasing the pre-filtration of the radiation spectrum			
Adapting the scanning parameters to the patient cross-section	Attenuation-dependent tube current modulation			
Pronounced reduction of mAs values for children	Low-dose scanning protocols for children and special indications			
Use of spiral CT with pitch factors > 1 and calculation of overlapping images instead of acquiring overlapping single scans	Automatic exposure control for conventional CT and spiral CT			
Adequate selection of image reconstruction parameters	Noise-reducing image reconstruction procedures			
Use of z-filtering with multi-slice CT systems	Further development of algorithms for z-filtering and adaptive filtering			

ICPP Publication 87

to limit the days. The new concernation of a clister of a







#### AJR issue with many articles & Editorial



Get the latest news, stocks, scores and more right now at USA TODAY .com TODAY's 24-hour online news site, all with a clean new interface. Plus, a stand-alone Tech section.

#### Asia stocks mixed overnight

Japan's Nikkei average is down 137 points, 1.0%, to 13,852 early today. Hong Kong's Hang Seng index is up 136 points, 0.9%, to 16,069.

#### CT scans in children linked to cancer later

will die later in life of radiation-induced ond study shows. These doses are "way bigger than the sorts of doses that peo- tions, or "slices," of anatomy, ple at Three Mile Island were getting."

first, by Brenner and colleagues, is the cancer, according to research out today. first to estimate the risks of "radiation-What's more, CT or computed to- induced fatal cancer" from pediatric CT mography scans given to kids are typi-cally calibrated for adults, so children too long to perform on children without absorb two to six times the radiation giving them anesthesia to keep them needed to produce clear images, a sec- still. Today's scanners spiral around the patient in seconds, providing cross sec-

for diagnosis and to plan and evaluate treatment. "The breast dose from a CT scan of the chest is somewhere between 10 and 20 mammograms. You'd want to think long and hard about giving your young daughter 10 to 20 mammograms unless she really needs it."

Mettler recently published a study showing that 11% of the CT scans at his Doctors use CT scans on children to center are done on children vounger

radiation dose given to patients. Children have more rapidly dividing cells than adults, which are more susceptible to radiation damage. Children also will live long enough for cancers to develop.

Researchers led by Lane Donnelly at Cincinnati's Children's Hospital found that children often get radiation doses six times higher than necessary. Cutting the adult dose in half would yield a clear image and cut the risk a like amount, Brenner says. "Radiologists genuinely believe the risks are small," he says. "I suspect they've never been confronted with numbers like this."

Brenner, Lee Rogers, Paterson, Donolly, Nickoloff, Haaga







#### Era on ATTENTION to radiation in CT

# Manufacturers vying with each other on Radiation Dose



# After ICRP 87

- Spiral CT 1999-2000
- 2000-2003: Doses in MDCT are higher
- Newer applications
- Potential for
  - Steep increase in usage
  - Multiple CT examination
- Watched literature on patient doses



# 2005: ICRP

- Established another TG on Patient dose Management in MDCT
- Chair: Madan Rehani
- Others members:
  - M.K. Kalra, USA
  - -C.H. McCollough, USA
  - -H.D. Nagel, Germany
- Corresponding members
  - L. Collins, NSW, Australia
  - W. Kalender, Erlangen, Germany

# ICRP Publication 102, 2007



# Single most important point in new document Be aware!!

# About image quality that you are using

Guidance on HOW to optimize



## This can also happen



CT perfusion with DSA

Imanishi et al. Eur Radiol. 2005 Jan;15(1):41-6



# 4 Major culprits in increasing dose

- -Shorter scanning times (time is intuitive, that it may result in lesser radiation dosevery little if any, published data available on this),
- -Image quality higher than necessary (knowledge),
- -Unjustified examinations,
- -Not using the features that the machine provides

## Time for CHANGE

#### **Played role**

- Presaging problem
- Developing guidance (Emphasizing on application of ICRP principle principles)

#### **Current situation:**

- Manufacturer-radiation dose TOP on the agenda
- Momentum among users on optimization
- Growing momentum on justification

#### Cone Beam CT



© J Can Deut Assec 2006; 72(1): 75-80



ICRP

#### www.icrp.org





# IAEA

**IAEA** 

#### The Nobel Peace Prize 2005

"for their efforts to prevent nuclear energy from being used for military purposes and to ensure that nuclear energy for peaceful purposes is used in the safest possible way"







### Common Impression

- Super regulator
- Use only Top Down approach (developing regulation, enforcement...)

#### Bottom-up approach





## 40 Less resourced countries

Countries (scanners) 146 CT facilities at 126 hospitals

Armenia (1),	Iran (10),	<b>Oman</b> (1),	Sudan (3),
Belarus (1),	Israel (7),	Pakistan (5),	<b>Syria (8),</b>
Bosnia & Herz (3)	Kuwait (5),	Paraguay (3), Peru	Tanzania (3),
Brazil (5),	Lebanon (6),	(1),	Thailand (2),
Bulgaria (12), China	Lithuania (3),	Poland (1),	The Former
(3),	Malaysia (5),	Qatar (1),	Yugoslavia Republic
Costa Rica (1),	Malta (1),	Serbia (3),	(FYR) of
Croatia (3),	Mexico (2),	Singapore (1),	Macedonia (5),
Czech Republic (6),	Montenegro (1),	Slovakia (4),	United Arab
Estonia (2),	Moldova (5),	Slovenia (1),	<b>Emirates UAE (15).</b>
Indonesia (1),	Myanmar (1),	Sri Lanka (2)	

#### First such multi-national study



Rehani. ICRP & IAEA- Rad Prot in CT 23

# IAEA study covering 40 countries

#### 1. Technology



Rehani. ICRP & IAEA- Rad Prot in CT



# IAEA Survey in 40 less resourced countries

#### **CT** equipment



#### Number of detector rows





INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION

# IAEA Survey in 40 less resourced countries

• CT equipment



#### **IAEA Survey in 40 countries**



 141 radiolographers/ technologists answered
 Dedicated scanning protocols for pediatric examinations available? Yes, in overall 94%



#### **IAEA Survey in 40 countries**



• 141 radiolographers/ technologists answered Indication based protocols? Available in about 58%





# IAEA study covering 40 countries

#### 1. Technology

#### 2. Pediatric CT practice

a. Technique



#### IAEA Survey in 40 countries

#### Most commonly used kVp=120

#### **Head CT**







#### IAEA Survey in 40 countries

# Most commonly used kVp=120 Chest CT [80-110 in 30% in newborn and 12% only for older]





NTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION



#### **RESULTS: Typical exposure parameters**

Mean values of tube current utilized for head, chest and abdomen exams w.r.t patient age







#### IAEA Survey in 40 countries



138 radiolographers/ technologists answered

Scout image for pediatric patient is performed usually in PA or AP projection?





## Scout Image

Recommendations given

- 80 kVp instead of 120 kVp,
- minimum X-ray tube current,
- 180° tube position (PA projection)



#### **QUESTIONNAIRE - RADIOGRAPHER**

138 radiolographers/ technologists answered
 Is typical scout image and CT scan of the pediatric abdomen extend to the breast (B) or to diaphragm (D)?





# IAEA study covering 40 countries

- 1. Technology
- 2. Pediatric CT practice
  - a. Technique
  - b. Dose




### **RESULTS: Typical exposure parameters**

Protocols for chest examination of infant (<1 y) in 8 CT facilities with **the same 64-detector scanner** model (Light Speed VCT, GE)

Mode	Tube voltage, kV	Tube current <i>,</i> mA	t rot, s	Pitch value	CTDI <sub>vol</sub> , mGy
helical	80	129	0.5	1.3	1.89
helical	120	120	0.5	0.984	10.21
helical	80	240	0.5	0.984	2.64
helical	80	100-250	0.5	0.96	4.26
helical	100	180	0.4	0.98	3.2
helical	120	80	0.4	1.375	4.5
helical	80	25	0.5	0.9	0.71
helical	120	80	0.6	0.9	10

### Scope for improvement and harmonization

# CTDI<sub>vol</sub> – Abdomen examination



		< 1y	1-5y	5-10y	10-15y	Adult
CTDIvol (mGy)	min	0.8	0.8	2.0	2.0	2.0
	max	40.1	75.0	29.8	45.0	61.0
	average	8.0	11.2	9.0	11.4	16.2
	median	5.0	6.3	7.6	9.6	13.4
	3d quarter	10.7	13.0	12.0	14.3	18.2
DRL in CTDI <sub>vol</sub> (mGy)	UK, 2005	20*	20*	30*	-	14
	Switzerland, 2008	7	9	13	16	-
	Germany, 2007	2.5	4	6.5	10	-
	France, 2009	4	4.5	7	-	-

\*DRLs in  $\text{CTDI}_{\text{vol},16}$ , Swiss as displayed by scanner, others 32

AL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION

cm



# Chest & Abdomen

- CTDI<sub>vol</sub>, values are similar or higher than the DRL values in UK and Switzerland, with a maximum difference of 53% for age group <1 y.</li>
- Compared with the DRLs in France, values from this survey are higher by 42-63%, but they are higher than the DRL values in Germany by 85 320 %.

# Head CT



- CTDI<sub>w</sub> values were higher than the latest UK DRL values for children by,
  - 62% for age group <1y,
  - 27% for (1-5) y,
  - 22% for (5-10) y.
- The third quartile  $\text{CTDI}_{\text{vol}}$  values are lower by 3 to 16% than the DRLs in UK, Germany and France, depending on the age group, but they are higher than corresponding values in Switzerland by up to 45%.
- Gantry tilt or patient head repositioning was applied by more than 75% of operators



# IAEA study covering 40 countries

- 1. Technology
- 2. Pediatric CT practice
  - a. Technique
  - b. Dose
  - c. Appropriateness





## **QUESTIONNAIRE - RADIOLOGIST**

- 129 radiologists answered
- Are written referral guidelines for imaging available in your hospital? *Not available in about Half*



# **Appropriateness Issues**

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Which examination is " the first choice examination" in case of:

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Appropriateness Issues

### Not according to available guidelines in

- Accidental head trauma, (not in about 50%. Minor trauma and suspected abuse)
- Infants with congenital torticollis;
- Children with possible ventriculo-peritoneal shunt malfunction and
- Young children (<5 years old) with acute sinusitis.

### Mostly according to guidelines

- Infant with hydrocephalus (76% use other than CT)
- Child with indication for appendicitis (acute abdominal pain)
- Child with persistent headache

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

# IAEA study covering 40 countries

- 1. Technology
- 2. Pediatric CT practice
  - a. Technique
  - b. Dose
  - c. Appropriateness
  - d. Frequency

IGRP INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION

# Frequency of pediatric CT exams

### 95 CT facilities in 28 countries

Region	Number of CT facilities	Frequency of pediatric examinations in 2007 (%)		Frequency of pediatric examinations in 2009 (%)	
		mean	range	mean	range
Europe	30	4.6	0.1 – 18.2	4.3	0.2 – 26.8
Asia	57	9.4	0.1 – 29.0	12.2	0.1-49.4
Latin America	1	-	-	-	-
Africa	7	9.6	4.2 – 19.7	7.8	2.2 – 18.2
All countries	95	7.5	0.1 – 29.0	9.0	0.1 - 49.4

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

# IAEA study covering 40 countries

1. Technology

## 2. Pediatric CT practice

- a. Technique
- b. Dose
- c. Appropriateness
- d. Frequency
- e. Referral

![](_page_46_Picture_9.jpeg)

# QUESTIONNAIRE - RADIOLOGIST

### • 129 radiologists answered

# Who decides whether a CT examination of pediatric patient is to be performed?

![](_page_47_Figure_3.jpeg)

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

# IAEA study covering 40 countries

1. Technology

## 2. Pediatric CT practice

- a. Technique
- b. Dose
- c. Appropriateness
- d. Frequency
- e. Referral
- f. Patient support & sedation

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **IAEA Survey in 40 countries**

141 radiolographers/ technologists answered
 Are any immobilization means available, e.g. swaddling clothes, straps, etc.?

![](_page_49_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **IAEA Survey in 40 countries**

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

 141 radiolographers/ technologists answered
 How often does CT examination of pediatric patient need supporter in the room?

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION

## **IAEA Survey in 40 countries**

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

141 radiolographers/ technologists answered
 How often is sedation used for small children (< 5 y old)?</li>

![](_page_51_Figure_3.jpeg)

# IAEA study covering 40 countries

- 1. Technology
- 2. Pediatric CT practice
  - a. Technique
  - b. Dose
  - c. Appropriateness
  - d. Frequency
  - e. Referral
  - f. Patient support & sedation
- **3.** Publications

![](_page_52_Picture_10.jpeg)

Rehani, ICRP & IAEA- Rad Prot in

# Results under publication in 3 papers

- **Paper I**: Frequency of use and Appropriateness (Already accepted AJR)
- <u>**Paper-II**</u>: Procedures and Protocols (Submitted AJR).
- <u>**Paper-III**</u>: Impact assessment of optimization actions as identified in Paper II above (Likely to be submitted in Dec.11/Jan.2012)

![](_page_53_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Radiation Protection Dosimetry Advance Access published August 17, 2009

Radiation Protection Dosimetry (2009), pp. 1-9

doi:10.1093/rpd/ncp144

### PATIENT DOSES IN CT EXAMINATIONS IN 18 COUNTRIES: INITIAL RESULTS FROM INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY PROJECTS

W. E. Muhogora<sup>1</sup>, N. A. Ahmed<sup>2</sup>, A. Beganovic<sup>3</sup>, A. Benider<sup>4</sup>, O. Ciraj-Bjelac<sup>5</sup>, V. Gershan<sup>6</sup>,

- E. Gershkevitsh<sup>7</sup>, E. Grupetta<sup>8</sup>, M. H. Kharita<sup>9</sup>, N. Manatrakul<sup>10</sup>, M. Milakovic<sup>11</sup>, K. Ohno<sup>12</sup>,
- L. Ben Omrane<sup>13</sup>, J. Ptacek<sup>14</sup>, C. Schandorf<sup>15</sup>, M. S. Shabaan<sup>16</sup>, D. Stoyanov<sup>17</sup>, N. Toutaoui<sup>18</sup>, J.
- S. Wambani<sup>19</sup> and M. M. Rehani<sup>20,\*</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>Tanzania Atomic Energy Commission, PO Box 743, Arusha, Tanzania
- <sup>2</sup>Sudan Atomic Energy Commission, PO Box 3001, Khartoum, Sudan
- <sup>3</sup>Clinical Centre of University of Sarajevo, Bolnicka 25-71000, Sarajevo, Federation of Bosnia & Herzegovina
- <sup>4</sup>Centre National de Radioprotection, Rabat, Agdal, Morocco
- <sup>5</sup>Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, PO Box 522, 11001 Belgrade, Serbia
- <sup>6</sup>Institute of Radiology, Clinical Centre, Skopje, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia
- <sup>7</sup>North Estonia Regional Hospital, Hiiu Street 44, 11619 Tallinn, Estonia
- <sup>8</sup>St. Luke's Hospital, St. Luke's Road, Guardamangi, Malta
- <sup>9</sup>Atomic Energy Commission of Syria, Damascus, Syria
- <sup>10</sup>Department of Medical Sciences, Ministry of Public Health, Tiwanon Road, Nonthaburi 11000, Thailand <sup>11</sup>Clinical Centre Banja Luka, 12 Beba 6, 7800 Banja Luka, Republic of Srpska, Bosnia & Herzegovina
- <sup>12</sup>Department of Radiology Technology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, College of Medical Science, Kyoto, Japan
- <sup>13</sup>Center National de Radioprotection, Hospital d'Enfants, Place B

<sup>14</sup>Department of Medical Physics and Radiation Protection. University

![](_page_54_Picture_22.jpeg)

Tunisia P. Pavlova 6. 56

### Radiation Protection Dosimetry Advance Access published February 11, 2010

Radiation Protection Dosimetry (2010), pp. 1-10

doi:10.1093/rpd/ncq015

### PAEDIATRIC CT EXAMINATIONS IN 19 DEVELOPING COUNTRIES: FREQUENCY AND RADIATION DOSE

W. E. Muhogora<sup>1</sup>, N. A. Ahmed<sup>2</sup>, J. S. AlSuwaidi<sup>3</sup>, A. Beganovic<sup>4</sup>, O. Ciraj-Bjelac<sup>5</sup>, V. Gershan<sup>6</sup>, E. Gershkevitsh<sup>7</sup>, E. Grupetta<sup>8</sup>, M. H. Kharita<sup>9</sup>, N. Manatrakul<sup>10</sup>, B. Maroufi<sup>11</sup>, M. Milakovic<sup>12</sup>, K. Ohno<sup>13</sup>, L. Ben Omrane<sup>14</sup>, J. Ptacek<sup>15</sup>, C. Schandorf<sup>16</sup>, M. S. Shaaban<sup>17</sup>, N. Toutaoui<sup>18</sup>, D. Sakkas<sup>19</sup>, J. S. Wambani<sup>20</sup> and M. M. Rehani<sup>21,\*</sup> <sup>1</sup>Tanzania Atomic Energy Commission, PO Box 743, Arusha, Tanzania <sup>2</sup>Sudan Atomic Energy Commission, PO Box 3001, Khartoum, Sudan <sup>3</sup>Dubai Hospital, Dubai, United Arab Emirates <sup>4</sup>Clinical Centre of University of Sarajevo, Bolnicka 25-71000, Sarajevo, Federation of Bosnia & Herzegovina <sup>5</sup>Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, PO Box 522, 11001 Belgrade, Serbia <sup>6</sup>University Clinic of Radiology, Skopje, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia <sup>7</sup>North Estonia Regional Hospital, Hiiu Street 44, 11619 Tallinn, Estonia <sup>8</sup>St. Luke's Hospital, St. Luke's Road, Guardamangi, Malta <sup>9</sup>Atomic Energy Commission of Syria, Damascus, Syria <sup>10</sup>Department of Medical Sciences, Ministry of Public Health, Tiwanon Road, 11000 Nonthaburi, Thailand <sup>11</sup>Centre National de Radioprotection, Rabat, Agdal, Morocco <sup>12</sup>Clinical Centre Banja Luka, 12 Beba 6, 7800 Banja Luka, Republic of Srpska, Bosnia & Herzegovina <sup>13</sup>Department of Podialary Technology, Femilty of Medical Sciences, College of Medical Sciences

![](_page_55_Picture_6.jpeg)

# FREE Download from http://rpop.iaea.org

Safety Reports Series No.60

> Radiation Protection in Newer Medical Imaging Techniques: Cardiac CT Jointly sponsored by the IAEA, WHO, ISR WHO INTERNATIONAL CONTRIBUTION FROM THE

> > AEA

![](_page_56_Picture_3.jpeg)

Safety Reports Series No.58

> Radiation Protection in Newer Medical Imaging Techniques: PET/CT

![](_page_56_Picture_6.jpeg)

>5000 downloads in last 2 years

![](_page_56_Picture_8.jpeg)

## FREE Download from http://rpop.iaea.org

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

# IAEA study covering 40 countries

- 1. Technology
- 2. Pediatric CT practice
  - a. Technique
  - b. Dose
  - c. Appropriateness
  - d. Frequency
  - e. Referral
  - f. Patient support & sedation
- **3. Publications**
- 4. Training material

![](_page_58_Picture_11.jpeg)

Rehani. ICRP & IAEA- Rad Prot in CT

### IAEA Training Material on Radiation Protection in Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology

![](_page_59_Picture_1.jpeg)

Rehani. ICRP & IAEA- Rad Prot in CT

Version: January 2005 61

FΔ

IACA.Org nternational Atomic Energy Agency

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

### IAEA Training Material on Radiation Protection of Children

![](_page_60_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

## While DOING is best way to communicate message and to learn, there is limited outreach of projects aimed at making people do

![](_page_61_Picture_2.jpeg)

Rehani. ICRP & IAEA- Rad Prot in CT

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Besides engaging** professionals in project work, satisfying their information needs

![](_page_62_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

# IAEA study covering 40 countries

- 1. Technology
- 2. Pediatric CT practice
  - a. Technique
  - b. Dose
  - c. Appropriateness
  - d. Frequency
  - e. Referral
  - f. Patient support & sedation
- 3. Publications
- 4. Training material
- 5. Website

# **Neosite** http://rpop.iaea.org

![](_page_64_Picture_1.jpeg)

IAEA Radiation Protection of Patients (RPoP)

Information for lome

Additional Resources

Additonal Resources

International Standards

Publications

Training

Member Area Special Groups

### Be informed About the Safe Use of Ionizing Radiation in Medicine

Information to help health professionals achieve safer use of radiation in medicine for the benefit of patients

![](_page_64_Picture_8.jpeg)

Member Area Member States Area Drafts Management Area

![](_page_64_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### Latest Literature

Information For

Member States

Patients

Health Professionals

Ferrandino, M.N., Bagrodia, A., Pierre, S.A., Scales, C.D. Jr., Rampersaud, E., Pearle, M.S., Preminger, G.M.,

Radiation exposure in the acute and short-term management of urolithiasis at 2 academic centers, J. Urol. 181 2 (Feb. 2009) 668-672.

Keeley, F.X., Jr, Thornton, M., Radiation safety: Implications for urologists and patients, J. Urol. 181 2 (Feb. 2009) 443-444.

Staff Radiation Doses in Interventional Cardiology: INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON EADOLOGICAL RECTON SILES V Correlation With Patient Exposure, Pediatr. Cardiol. (Jan.

#### Did You Know That...

![](_page_64_Picture_18.jpeg)

Special Groups

Pregnant Women

Children

3. It is safe to have an X ray examination of the extremities (feet, legs, hands, arms) in pregnancy, provided the examination is clinically justified and radiation protection principles are observed

> « Prev Next »

### Vano, E., Ubeda, C., Leyton, F., Miranda, P., Gonzalez, 10 million hits/y

#### Latest News

#### New Publications on Newer Imaging Techniques released

Search RPoP:

Download FREE three new publications on radiation protection in newer imaging techniques (PET/CT, Cardiac CT and CT colonography)

Cardiologists' Newsletter

Next issue of the Newsletter of the Asian Network of Cardiologists in Radiation Protection is now available

Upcoming Events

Meeting planned to prepare contents for patient information part of this website, Vienna, 4-8 May 2009 Meeting to discuss framework for patient information, draw guidelines and prepare contents

#### Meeting for Smart Card for long term record of patient doses, Vienna, 27-29 April 2009 The first meeting on this project will be held in IAEA Vienna

66

All Events 🕞

countries

Our Work

About Us

GO

IAEA.org

## ( IAEA Radiation Protection of Patients (RPOP)

Search RPoP:

![](_page_65_Figure_2.jpeg)

Advances in computed tomography (CT) technology have continued to open new clinical applications, including several procedures for evaluating heart disease. The speed with which CT technology is changing is somewhat unparalleled in medical imaging. The equipment is becoming faster and faster. In the 1990s, a patient had to remain in a CT gantry for a period of approximately 10 minutes for a chest CT, whereas now it takes a few seconds to scan the entire chest. This may give the impression that radiation dose in CT is small,

### Radiation protection CT

About 1,670,000 results (0.07 seconds)

### CT Radiation Reduction | siemens.com Q

www.siemens.com/low-dose Siemens Computed Tomography - Where patient safety means dose reduction

### Radiation Protection | Rothband.com

www.rothband.com/Radiation-Protection Huge Range of Structural & Personal Radiation Protection Products

### Radiation Protection Systems, Inc. Q

www.rpsct.com/

60 Leonard Drive Open Weekdays 8am-5:30pm Groton, CT 06340 (860) 445-0334 Place page - Directions - Is this accurate?

### [PPT] RADIATION PROTECTION IN DIAGNOSTIC RADIOLOGY - RPoP

rpop.iaea.org/RPOP/RPoP/Content/Documents/.../RPDIR-L18\_CT\_WEB.pp... File Format: Microsoft Powerpoint - Quick View L18: Optimization of Protection in Computed Tomography (CT). IAEA Training Material on Radiation Protection in Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology. IAEA ...

 Image: Repair Report Report

![](_page_66_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_0.jpeg)

### IAEA Radiation dose CT

About 86,800 results (0.35 seconds)

### Scholarly articles for IAEA Radiation dose CT

![](_page_67_Picture_4.jpeg)

<u>Dose Reduction in CT while Maintaining Diagnostic</u> - Tsapaki - Cited by 47 ... PET and PET/CT for radiation therapy planning: IAEA ... - MacManus - Cited by 84 <u>Radiation dose for pedicle screw insertion</u>: ... - Slomczykowski - Cited by 77

### PET/CT Scanning Q

rpop.iaea.org/RPOP/RPoP/Content/.../6.../PETCTscan.htm - Cached IAEA Radiation Protection of Patients ... The radiation exposure from CT has a very wide range depending on the type of the test, the area of the body scanned ...

 IAEA Training Material on Radiation Protection in Cardiology

 rpop.iaea.org/RPOP/RPoP/Content/.../CARD\_L11\_CardiacCT\_WEB.ppt

 File Format: Microsoft Powerpoint - Quick View

 IAEA. Cardiac CT - radiation doses, dose management and practical issues. L ...

### CT Colonography Q

rpop.iaea.org/rpop/rpop/content/.../1.../ctcolonography.htm - Cached Nucleus · IAEA Radiation Protection of Patients ... What is the radiation ...

IAEA Aims to Reduce Unnecessary Child Radiation Doses

www.iaea.org/newscenter/news/2010/childctscans.html - Cached 23 Apr 2010 - IAEA Aims to Reduce Unnecessary Child Radiation Doses. New ...

Show more results from iaea.org

### Is there information from the IAEA for PATIENTS?

![](_page_68_Figure_1.jpeg)

### training material radiation protection CT

About 319,000 results (0.19 seconds)

### Free Material Q

rpop.iaea.org/rpop/rpop/.../training/1\_trainingmaterial/index.htm - Cached The training material on this site is available for free downloading (subject to ... Image Gently training modules for Enhancing radiation protection in CT for ...

### <u>PET/**CT**</u> Q

rpop.iaea.org/RPOP/RPoP/.../Training/1\_TrainingMaterial/PETCT.ht... - Cached Nucleus · IAEA Radiation Protection of Patients ... PET/CT. Training ...

### [PP] RADIATION PROTECTION IN DIAGNOSTIC RADIOLOGY

rpop.iaea.org/RPOP/RPoP/.../TrainingRadiology/.../RPDIR-L18\_CT\_WEB. .. File Format: Microsoft Powerpoint - Quick View L18: Optimization of Protection in Computed Tomography (CT). IAEA Training ...

<u>News - RPoP</u> rpop.iaea.org/RPOP/RPoP/Content/News/index.htm - Cached Russian version of the training material on Radiation protection in ...

Show more results from iaea.org

![](_page_69_Picture_10.jpeg)

Rehani. ICRP & IAEA- Rad Prot in CT

![](_page_69_Picture_12.jpeg)

Home » News

### New Era in CT scanning

More than 400 cases of radiation overexposure from CT scan in 8 hospitals, many suffering hair loss and skin injuries including as well a child of less than 2 years getting 150 times more radiation dose- these are newest situations never encountered before even though CT has been around since 1972 and the technology has been considered safe. Manufacturers, radiologists and radiographers never imagined that software problems that keep on getting reported from time to time with high tech radiotherapy machines resulting in serious incidents could ever occur with very well behaved CT machines.

Six years ago it was not easy to spot a case of either accidental exposure to patient in CT scan or a patient having skin injury from CT scans. With almost 115 years gone by, after the discovery of X rays by Roentgen, the current interest in radiation protection seems to be what it would have been about a century ago. This follows a period of almost half century (from 1930's to 1980's) when X rays started to be considered safe enough, making some health professionals rather complacent.

#### Continued occurrence of overradiation in brain CT

A report in the New York Times again brings attention to the problem that was publicized earlier

### Continued occurrence of overradiation in brain CT

A report of patients overradiated when undergoing brain perfusion CT was extensively covered by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) on this website about a year ago.

The incidents resulted in actions by the FDA and the signing of a bill into law in California.

Despite these actions, new reports of overradiation in brain perfusion CT have appeared.

![](_page_70_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_12.jpeg)

# Our Challenges

• Common goal but different settings

![](_page_71_Picture_2.jpeg)

### 30 countries in our study are non-English Medical physicist!!

![](_page_71_Picture_4.jpeg)

Rehani. ICRP & IAEA- Rad Prot in CT 73

![](_page_71_Picture_6.jpeg)
## **Some participants in projects**



















































## "Whatever you do will be insignificant, but it is very important that you do it"



"Strength does not come from physical capacity, it comes from an indomitable will."



Rehani. ICRP & IAEA- Rad Prot in CT





## M.Rehani@iaea.org

Rehani. ICRP & IAEA- Rad Prot in INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTE

